

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,334	02/13/2002	Steven J. Soldin	64688/155	6011
28538 75	90 08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
DR. MELVIN BLECHER			GUPTA, ANISH	
4329 VAN NES WASHINGTON			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1654	
	,	•		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/073,334	SOLDIN, STEVEN J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anish Gupta	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u>	larch 2006					
	action is non-final.					
·=) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·		FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te) 152\			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5)	atent Application (PTC	J- 13 <i>2)</i>			

Application/Control Number: 10/073,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 3-6-06 is acknowledged. Claims 7 and 9 was canceled. Claims 1-6 are pending in this Application.

- 2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3-6-06 has been entered.
- 3. All rejections made in the previous office action and not cited herein are hereby withdrawn.

Maintained Rejections Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-6 remain rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,410,340. Although the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,334 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

The claims are drawn to a immunophilin wherein it comprises a protein that has a molecular weight of 8.4 kDa, is isolated from the soluble cytoplasm of lymphoid tissue and has an N-terminal first 23 amino acid sequence according to Seq. Id. No. 1.

Applicants did not address this rejection in their response. Applicants have not submitted a properly executed terminal disclaimer to overcome the rejection.

Applicants is reminded that the instant claims are drawn to a product and the US patent claims are drawn to the same product. In fact claim 9 of the US patent claims a kit comprising a purified 8.4Kda immunophilin as defined in "claim 1." Claim 1 of the US patent defines the immunophilin as bout 8.4 kDa immunophilin exhibits the following characteristics: (i) the first 23 amino acid sequence is identical to that of authentic ubiquitin; (ii) retention times on HPLC are identical to those of authentic ubiquitin; (iii) migrates on SDS-PAGE plates identically to HPLC-purified commercial ubiquitin; (iv) exhibits a Kd of about 0.8 nM for FK-506 and 0.08 nM for rapamycin; (v) has a Hill plot value of about unity; and (vi) when complexed to FK-506 inhibits calcineurin phosphatase activity. The characterisitics claimed by the US patent are similar to the instant claims. Thus, even kit of claim 9 renders obvious the claimed invention.

Thus, the rejection a maintained.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anish Gupta whose telephone number is (571)272-0965. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can normally be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number of this group is (571)-273-8300.

ANISH GUPTA PRIMARY EXAMINER